

# External Examiners Report

2020/2021

Proforma

| General details           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Subject                   | Citizenship & the Law (Foundation); Intro to Legal Personnel (Foundation); Public & Private Law (Foundation); Criminal Law; EU Law; Family (Levels 5 and 6); Human Rights Law; Public Law; Tort Criminal Litigation and Evidence |
| Name of external examiner | Julian Creasey   |
| Date of report            | July 29th 2021   |

| 1 Academic Issues   |            |
|---|------------|
| 1.1 Were the standards of the questions set at the appropriate level?   | <b>YES</b> |
| Please comment.   |            |
| 1.2 Were the assessments well-designed? i.e did they assess appropriately the learning outcomes set for the course. | <b>YES</b> |
| Please comment  |            |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 1.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general at an appropriate level? | <b>YES</b> |
| Please comment   |            |
| 1.4 Was the method and general standard of marking satisfactory and consistent?                | <b>YES</b> |
| Please comment   |            |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>2 Administrative Issues:</b> please make any comments you wish to make on: |  |
| 2.1 The process of setting assessments.                                       | I was satisfied by every step of the assessment process, notwithstanding the difficulties thrown up by the covid crisis. |
| 2.2 The administration of assessments.  |  |
| 2.3 The moderation process.   |  |
| 2.4 The conduct of the Examination Board.                                     |  |
| 2.5 Procedures relating to candidates with special needs/concessions          |  |
| 2.6 Any other procedural issues.  |  |

| <b>3 Quality Assurance Issues</b>  |            |
|--|------------|
| 3.1 Were assessment policies and your duties as external examiner adequately explained to you?   | <b>YES</b> |
| 3.2 Did you have adequate briefing and guidance sufficient for you to fulfil your role effectively as an external examiner?  | <b>YES</b> |
| 3.3 Did you have adequate access to any material needed (including assessment regulations, student handbook, programme specification and module descriptors) to make the required judgements?                  | <b>YES</b> |
| 3.4 Were your comments during the assessment process and at the Examination Board considered appropriately   | <b>YES</b> |
| 3.5 Has appropriate action been taken in respect of comments made in your last examiner's report?  | <b>YES</b> |
| <p>Please make any comments you wish to make on the above points.</p> <p>The options chosen for assessment to cope with the difficulties thrown up by the vivid situation were appropriate and successful.</p> |            |

#### **4. Areas of good practice**

Please provide a description or bullet point list of any particular areas of good practice in relation to standards and assessment processes that would be worthy of dissemination to a wider audience.

- **The historic thoroughness of the marking criteria, moderation, and student feedback were maintained.**

#### **5. Other comments**

There are no issues I wish to raise

Signed: Julian Creasey

29<sup>th</sup> July 2021